

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,719	HOHNE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Insun Kang	2193	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Insun Kang (examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Grubert (reg. 59,143).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 August 2007
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

1, 3-10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The amendments in this examiner's amendment that were necessary in order to further clarify the claims and obviate any rejection under 112 2nd were discussed during the interview.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MENG-AL T. AN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)